
Related Practices

- » Complex Litigation
- » FDA Practice Group
- » Regulation and Investigation

Attorney Contacts

- » Lauren S. Albert
- » Mark D. Alexander
- » John DeQ. Briggs
- » Behnam Dayanim
- » Nicholas E.O. Gaglio
- » Gail L. Gottehrer
- » Michael L. Keeley
- » Francis H. Morrison III
- » Thomas G. Rohback

Speaking Engagements & Seminars

- » Behnam Dayanim
Comments to Forbes.com on PartyGaming's Exit from U.S. Online Gambling Industry and its Vindication
- » Behnam Dayanim Speaks to The New York Times About Federal Authorities' Bid to Shut Down Online Gambling Sites and the Investigation of Full Tilt Poker
- » Behnam Dayanim
Comments to The Wall Street Journal, Reuters and Poker News Report as Counsel to Groupe

Litigation and Regulatory

AVH litigates a broad range of complex antitrust, intellectual property, regulatory, technology-related and commercial cases in state and federal court, in arbitration proceedings and in civil and criminal investigations by government regulatory authorities. All of the firm's lawyers are accomplished litigators who bring to every case an effective combination of general litigation skills, such as persuasive advocacy and procedural tactics, and experience in particular areas of the law. The cases handled by the firm typically involve complex factual or legal issues and often are of tremendous significance to the client either from a damages or operational perspective.

Most recently, AVH lawyers have successfully litigated cases involving allegations of overseas rigging of an auction, an FDA exclusivity award pursuant to the Hatch-Waxman Act; the certification of a major class action; an action alleging breach of a covenant not to compete; franchise terminations; a multi-district litigation concerning alleged health care fraud; a defendant class action involving a pension fund real estate investment vehicle; commercial libel and defamation on the Internet; domain name rights; and breach of a multi-million dollar supply contract. We also successfully defended against a grand jury investigation of an alleged international conspiracy in the specialty metals industry, and against a state attorney general investigation of health care fraud and RICO claims. The firm has litigated cases involving deceptive advertising, unfair competition, ERISA, Lanham Act claims and numerous consumer protection issues, including slamming and related claims.

AVH has been involved in numerous domestic and international commercial disputes involving breach of contracts and license agreements, breach of partnership agreements, breach of express and implied warranties, tortious interference, technology-related torts and other UCC issues.

Litigation and Regulatory (continued)

Bernard Tapie in a Bid to Acquire Full Tilt Poker

- » Dayanim Interviewed by All Things Considered, National Public Radio
- » AVH Partner Behnam Dayanim Consulted in The Washington Post and PC Magazine

News & Developments

- » AVH Wins Dismissal of a Putative Class Action Against Travelers
- » AVH Partner Behnam Dayanim represents Groupe Bernard Tapie in a deal with the Department of Justice that is being hailed by GamingIntelligence.com as “absolutely incredible”
- » AVH Wins Another Appeal for Brown & Brown
- » AVH Partners Named Connecticut Super Lawyers 2011/2012
- » AVH Partners Named to Super Lawyers Business Edition 2011
- » AVH Launches New Blog
- » AVH Partner Authors Article on U.S. Sanctions and Export Laws for Industry Week
- » AVH Partners Again Ranked by Chambers
- » AVH Partner Thomas G. Rohback Named a "Winning" Litigator by NLJ
- » AVH Attorneys Named Connecticut Super Lawyers 2011
- » Super Lawyers Names AVH Attorneys - New York Metro 2010
- » AVH Announces New Litigation and Regulatory Practice, Expansion of DC Office

Articles & Newsletters

- » NAD Decisions: A Roadmap To Class Action Litigation?
- » Where Are Your Products -- and Why Does It Matter?
- » Drawing the Line Between Descriptive and Suggestive Trademarks
- » Arbitration Freed From American Fee Rule
- » Connecticut Supreme Court Holds That Distributor Was Not A Franchisee
- » "Schering" Overruled: Risk of Settling Patent Suits Reduced?

Litigation and Regulatory (continued)

- » AVH Wins Unanimous Reversal by Connecticut Supreme Court on Prejudgment Remedy Procedure
- » AVH Wins Dismissal with Prejudice for Yeshiva University in Medical Resident Price Fixing Case
- » AVH Secures Significant Victory for Purepac Pharmaceutical Company in Purepac Pharmaceutical Co. v. Thompson (D.D.C.)
- » U.S. Supreme Court Again Clarifies the Power of Arbitrators
- » Recent Supreme Court Decision Reinforces the Increasingly Important Role Arbitrators Play in Resolving Disputes
- » AVH Victory Against Antitrust Division Shows That Challenges to Mergers Can Be Litigated Quickly and Defended Successfully
- » Invention is the Mother of Litigation
- » Alternative Dispute Resolution: Simplified Justice or a Shield for Smoking Guns?